

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,421	BRANDENBURG, JOHN E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexa D. Neckel	1764	

All Participants:

(1) Alexa D. Neckel.

(2) Brian Steinberger.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: 3:15pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-12

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alexa Neckel
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Steinberger agreed to cancel claims which were non-elected with traverse with the understanding that an obvious-type double patenting rejection cannot be made in a divisional application to the non-elected invention (process claims 7-12) in view of the instant claims (apparatus 1-6).